



WOMEN LEADERS IN ONLINE/DISTANCE EDUCATION ASSOCIATIONS/ORGANIZATIONS

Natalie B. MILMAN Associate Professor and Director of the Educational Technology Leadership Program George Washington University Graduate School of Education and Human Development 2134 G ST, NW, Washington DC. 20052, USA

There is no doubt that more women are needed in the science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) fields. Numerous reports (cf. Cook, Mason, Morse, & Neuhauser, 2015; Corbett & Hill, 2015; Landivar, 2013), articles (cf. Marks, 2015), books (cf. Sandberg, 2013), and data represented in infographics (cf. "Women who tech," n.d.) substantiate the need, not only for more representation in these fields, but also for women's leadership.

However, it is unclear how many women are involved in educational technology (also known as instructional technology) or the growing field of online/distance education, an area that falls under the even broader STEM field umbrella.

Further highlighting the gender disparity in the field of educational technology, Scharber, Pazurek, and Ouyang (2015) conducted an analysis of major, refereed educational technology journals. Their analyses evidenced both subtle and dramatic gender differences in authorship for the publications they examined in their review.

Their research suggests that the pipeline challenge is not only problematic at the secondary, post-secondary, and graduate education student levels, but also with those who conduct, advance, and publish research in the field. Their research showed that a large amount of the research in the field of educational technology was conducted and published by male authors, either as first or second authors. In some journals, the gender differences (i.e., publications authored primarily by male authors) were stark.

Although their research did not examine the reasons for the differences, it illuminated gendered differences in authorship that should be of concern for any editor.

Moreover it brings to question who the leaders are of various associations/ organizations related to online/distance education. Just as authors promote a field, so do the leaders of major associations.

Table 1. outlines some of the major associations/organizations associated with online/distance education. It also highlights the gender of the individual who was the primary leader in 2015.

The primary leader was defined as either the president or the chief executive officer (CEO). In cases where there was both a CEO and a president, the president was identified as the primary leader. Table 1. shows that most of the online/distance education-related associations, union and Organizations are led by males.





Table 1. Online/Distance Education Associations Leadership by Gender

Association/Organization	Female	Male
African Council for Distance Education	X	
http://www.acdeafrica.org/about/meet-team		
American Distance Education Consortium (ADEC)		X
http://www.adec.edu/about/board-of-directors		v
Asian Association of Open Universities		X
http://aaou.ouhk.edu.hk Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education	?	?
(AACE) <u>http://www.aace.org</u>	f	f
Association for Distance Education and Independent	X	
Learning (ADEIL) <u>http://www.adeil.org/?g=node/11</u>	A	
Association for Educational Communications and		Х
Technology (AECT) <u>http://www.aect.org/newsite</u>		
Australasian Council on Open, Distance and E-learning	X	
(ACODE) http://www.acode.edu.au/mod/page/view.php?i		
<u>d=18</u>		
Consortium for School Networking (CoSN)		Х
http://www.cosn.org/about/staff		
Educause		Х
http://www.educause.edu/about/mission-and-		
organization/educause-president-and-ceo-search		
European Association for Distance Learning (EADL)		Х
http://www.eadl.org		
International Association for K-12 Online Learning (iNACOL)	X	
http://www.inacol.org/about/staff		
International Council for Open and Distan Education		X
http://www.icde.org/en/about/organization/executive_co		
mmittee		X
International Society for Technology in Education		X
http://www.iste.org/about/leadership-team	X	
Online Learning Consortium (previously Sloan	X	
Consortium) <u>http://onlinelearningconsortium.org/executive</u> -leadership-team		
Open and Distance Learning Association of Australia		
(ODLAA) <u>http://odlaa.org/about/executive</u>		х
Presidents' Forum		X
http://www.presidentsforum.org/board-ofdirectors		
United States Distance Learning Association	Х	
(USDLA) <u>http://www.usdla.org/v/vspfiles/pdf_files/ElaineS</u>		
huckBio2015.pdf		
University Professional & Continuing Education		Х
Association <u>http://www.upcea.edu/content.asp?pl=353&sl</u>		
=19&contentid=354		
WCET http://wcet.wiche.edu/about-us/governance		X
World Association for Online Educators		x
http://www.waoe.org/directors.html		~
UDEEEWANA <u>http://www.udeeewana.org</u>		X





Of the online/distance education-related associations, only one, the Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education, did not provide the name of its primary leader on its website; therefore, it was not counted as part of the sample but included in the list because of its work in the field of online/distance education.

The table illustrates that 30% (N=20) of the leadership of online/distance education-related associations/organizations is female and 70% male. Clearly, there is a need for more women leadership in these associations/organizations.

Editor's Note: This article has been published in Women Leaders in Online/Distance Education Associations/Organizations, by Milman, Natalie B. *Distance Learning* 12.3 (2015): 45-47.

BIODATA and CONTACT ADDRESSESS of the AUTHOR



Natalie B. MILMAN, Ph.D., is Associate Professor of Educational Technology and Director of the Educational Technology Leadership Program in the Department of Educational Leadership at The George Washington University's Graduate School of Education and Human Development.

Dr. Milman's research interests focus on 21st century andragogy and pedagogy, including strategies and models for the effective integration of technology at all academic levels; online student support needs, engagement, and learning; issues of diversity and digital equity; and the use of digital portfolios for professional development.

She serves as the co-editor of the Current Practice Section of Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, and has published numerous journal articles, including in Computers in the Schools, Journal of Research on Technology and Education, Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, Online Learning, and the Quarterly Review of Distance Education.

She presents frequently at conferences and has co-authored several book chapters and books. Her most recent book is entitled, "Teaching Models: Designing Instruction for 21st Century Learners". Dr. Milman earned a doctorate in Instructional Technology from the University of Virginia's Curry School of Education with a graduate specialization designed to prepare technology leaders.

She began her career in education as a second grade, science specialist, mentor, and technology teacher in Los Angeles County, California. She has taught at the graduate school level since 1997 and online since 2001.

Natalie B. MILMAN Associate Professor and Director of the Educational Technology Leadership Program George Washington University Graduate School of Education and Human Development 2134 G ST, NW, Washington DC 20052, USA 202.994.1884202.994.1884 Email: <u>nmilman@gwu.edu</u>





REFERENCES

Cook, L., Mason, M., Morse, R., & Neuhauser, A. (2015). The annual index measures science, technology, engineering and mathematics activity in the U.S. In *U.S. News & World Report.* Retrieved from http://www.usnews.com/news/stem-index index/articles/2015/06/29/the-2015-us-news-raytheon-stem-index

Corbett, C., & Hill, C. (2015). <u>Solving the equation: The variables for women's success in</u> <u>engineering and computing</u>. Washington, DC: American Association of University Women.

Landivar, L. C. (2013). *Disparities in STEM employment by sex, race, and hispanic origin: American community surveys reports.* Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <u>http://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/acs-24.pdf</u>

Marks, G. (2015, March 16). The real reason most women don't go into tech [Blog post]. In *Forbes*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.forbes.com/sites/quickerbettertech/2015/03/16/the-real-reason-most-women-dont-go-into-tech</u>

Sanberg, S. (2013). Lean in: Women, work, and the will to lead. New York, NY: Knopf.

Scharber, C., Pazurek, A., & Ouyang, F. (2015). *Exploring gender differences in publication rates within educational technology journals: 2004–2013*. Paper presented at the American Education Research Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL.

Women who tech. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://womenwhotech.com/womenintechinfographic